

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,798	01/21/2004	Cherie R. Kagan	YOR920000186US2	9993
7590 PAUL D. GREELEY, ESQ. OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
			CHAN, SING P	
10th FLOOR ONE LANDMARK SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682			1791	,
• .			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,798	KAGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sing P. Chan	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Seconds</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-13 and 22-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option of of the	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-11, 22, 23, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 22, 29, and 30, Clem et al discloses a method of forming self-assembled monolayer or SAM formed of linear or branched alkyl or other hydrocarbon spacer, i.e. organic molecular species (Col 12, lines 23-37) and thin film on surfaces. The method includes forming a pattern onto a substrate with an applicator, i.e. a stamp, by coating the SAM onto the protrusions of the stamp, applying the stamp to the substrate and removing the stamp from the surface of the substrate, applying a sol-gel precursor to the SAM coated substrate by dip coating or spin casting, which the precursor is in liquid form and after heat treatment, forming an amorphous oxide film at the unpatterned region, i.e. thin film, but the patterned region with the SAM, the thin film adhered poorly and easily removed by thermal and/or mechanical agitation, i.e. without

Art Unit: 1791

forming covalent bonding (Col 6, lines 14-36), wherein the thin film is in the order of micron or submicron range (Col 5, lines 46-55), which is not a monolayer. Furthermore, Clem et al recites the SAM includes a first end with functional group that binds to the desired surface (Col 10, lines 27-30) and a second end with functional group that selected to bind to particular material in any of a variety of functionalities (Col 11, lines 32-39), for example a prepolymer may be applied to the surface wherein the prepolymer is compatible with the exposed functionality of the SAM and incompatible with the surface of the substrate, the prepolymer assembles on the SAM and then can be polymerized but if the prepolymer is incompatible with the functionality of the SAM and compatible with the surface of the substrate, the prepolymer will assemble in a pattern complementary to the pattern of the SAM (Col 18, line 56 to Col 19, line 21) and therefore, does not coat the surface covered by the SAM and the SAM will prevent the prepolymer from coming in contact with SAM coated surface or coat it.

Regarding claim 2, Clem et al discloses the substrate includes conductive, nonconductive, semiconducting material, glass, silica, alumina, plastic, and organic polymers. (Col 13, lines 3-15)

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Clem et al discloses the substrate includes curved or non-planar substrate. (Col 8, lines 60-67)

Regarding claim 6, Clem et al discloses the stamp is elastic. (Col 15, lines 36-45)

Regarding claim 9, Clem et al discloses the SAM includes at least one sulfurcontaining functional group such as thiols, sulfide, or disulfide. (Col 11, lines 24-27) Art Unit: 1791

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Clem et al discloses the second end of the SAM or tail of SAM includes functional groups such as polar, nonpolar, halogenated, hydrocarbon, and amine. (Col 11, line 36 to Col 12, line 23)

Regarding claim 23, Clem et al discloses the material deposited includes metal oxide. (Col 5, lines 46-51 and Col 6, lines 49-59)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Chrisey et al (U.S. 5,688,642).

Clem et al discloses the molecular species for the SAM includes "fluorinated hydrocarbon" (See Clem, Col 11, lines 56-63). But, is silent as to the 'fluorinated hydrocarbon" includes (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl) trichlorosilane. However, using (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl) trichlorosilane for SAM is well known and conventional as shown for example by Chrisey et al. Chrisey et al discloses a method of selective attachment of nucleic acid molecules to patterned self-assembled surfaces. The method includes forming a thin film of organosilane (SAM) using (tridecafluoro-

Art Unit: 1791

1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl)trichlorosilane to form the SAM on a silica slide. (Col 10, lines 29-35)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide (tridecafluoro-1,1,2,2-tetrahydrooctyl) trichlorosilane as a molecular species for forming SAM on a substrate as disclosed by Chrisey et al in the method of Clem et al to provide a method of preparing surfaces, which nucleic acid molecules (NAMs) may be immobilized to form arrays or patterns of NAMs. (See Chrisey et al, Col 3, lines 26-31)

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Wefers et al (U.S. 5,059,258).

Clam et al discloses molecular species for the SAM includes hydrocarbon, fluorinated hydrocarbon, halogenated, and phosphate species, (See Clem et al, Col 11, lines 46-66) but, is silent as to the species is octadecylphosphonic acid. However, providing octadecylphosphonic acid as a species for forming SAM is well known and conventional as shown for example by Wefers et al. Wefers et al discloses a method of bonding phosphonic acid to aluminum hydroxide layer. The method includes providing a molecular species such as long and short chain hydrocarbon, carboxylic acids, amine, alcohols, organosilicones, polymeric phosphonic acids such as octadecylphosphonic acid, which will form a SAM. (Figure 1 and Col 4, lines 24-66)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide octadecylphosphonic acid as the molecular species for

Art Unit: 1791

forming SAM on the substrate as disclosed by Wefers et al in the method of Clem et al to provide any molecular species, which are all equivalents to form the SAM on the substrate.

6. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Liang et al (U.S. 5,871,579).

Clem et al as disclosed above of using amines as a functional group on SAM but is silent as to the amines are organic-inorganic hybrid such as $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2SnI_4$, $(C_4H_9NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3Sn_2I_7$, $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3SnI_4$, $(H_3NC_4H_8NH_3)_2SnI_4$, and a mixture thereof. However, using organic-inorganic hybrid to form thin film is well known and conventional as shown for example by Liang et al. Liang et al discloses a method of forming organic-inorganic perovskite thin film. The method includes depositing a thin film of MI_2 onto a glass or quartz substrate (CoI 3, lines 21-29), immersing the thin film into a solution of desired organic ammonium iodide to obtain the perovskite thin film or organic-inorganic hybrid of $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2SnI_4$, $(C_4H_9NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3Sn_2I_7$,

 $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3SnI_4$, and $(H_3NC_4H_8NH_3)_2SnI_4$. (Col 4, line 13 to Col 6, line 53)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to provide thin film of $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2SnI_4$, $(C_4H_9NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3Sn_2I_7$, $(C_6H_5C_2H_4NH_3)_2CH_3NH_3SnI_4$, and $(H_3NC_4H_8NH_3)_2SnI_4$ as disclosed by Liang et al in the method of Clem et al to provide a semiconductor layer with strong luminescence for building electrical device. (See Liang et al, Col 1, lines 31-44)

Art Unit: 1791

7. Claims 25, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Hawker et al (U.S. 6,423,465).

Clem et al as disclosed above is silent as to thin film is a photoresist. However, providing a photoresist on a monolayer is well known and conventional as shown for example by Hawker et al. Hawker et al discloses a method of forming patterned polymeric brush on a substrate surface. The method include forming the polymeric brush on the substrate the same way as self assembled monolayer, which is a monolayer of polymeric material (Col 1, lines 50-62), applying a polymer composition such as methyl methacrylate-co-methacrylic acid by spin coating or dip coating, applying a deep UV radiation to the decompose the photoacid generator and removing the remaining photoresist by washing with appropriate solvent. (Col 11, lines 18-67) Furthermore, photoresist coating is imagewise expose to the UV radiation (Col 11, lines 44-50) and inherently includes either a positive or a negative image of the desired pattern.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a photoresist on a monolayer of polymer brush and applying deep UV radiation to form either a positive or negative image pattern on the photoresist as disclosed by Hawker et la in the method of Clem et al to provide a processing technologies for semiconductor with extremely stable, high resolution, and versatile product. (See Hawker et al, Col 2, lines 37-40)

Art Unit: 1791

8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clem et al (U.S. 6,518,168) as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Schildkraut et al (U.S. 5,115,336).

Clem et al as disclosed above is silent as to thin film is metallo-organic complex of tin 2-ethylhexanoate. However, providing a coating on a SAM with metallo-organic complex is well known and conventional as shown for example by Schildkraut et al. Schildkraut et al discloses a method of forming a photorefractive system. The system includes an optically active layer formed by SAM (Col 12, lines 51-62) and forming a protective coating using metal fluoride coating by thermal decomposition of the metal carboxylate, i.e. metal acetate3 or 2-ethylhexanoate (Col 16, lines 5-9 and Col 16, lines 34-44), wherein the metal includes alkaline earth, rare earth, and alkali oxide and fluoride (Col 16, lines 9-26), which inherently includes tin as a rare earth metal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a protective coating on the SAM using a metal 2-ethylhexanoate such as tin 2-ethylhexanoate as disclosed by Schildkraut et al in the method of Clem et al to provide a inert stable compounds as an protective layer for the SAM. (See Schildkraut et al, Col 16, lines 9-12)

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments filed September 4, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 10. In response to applicant's argument of Clem discloses the precursor deposits on both the substrate surface as well as the blocking agent or SAM and in contrast to claim

1 of "the patterned thin film is formed only on a surface of the substrate not coated with the self-assembled monolayer", the examiner disagrees, when applying the broadest interpretation to the statement "the patterned thin film is formed only on a surface of the substrate not coated with the self-assembled monolayer," the claim only required the thin film is formed on the surface not coated with the self assembled monolayer and does not exclude the solution not deposited onto the blocking agent or the SAM. Furthermore, Clem discloses the SAM can includes functionality incompatible to the prepolymer and cause the prepolymer to assemblies in a pattern complementary to the pattern of the SAM or portion of the substrate not coated by the SAM and is polymerized in the pattern to form the film. (See Clem, Col 18, line 65 to Col 19, line 5) 11. In response to applicant's argument of Clem discloses CVD or chemical vapor deposition for depositing the thin film, the examiner agrees, but CVD is only one of the method of deposition disclosed by Clem and deposition by sol-gel is also disclosed, which is by dipping or spin coating.

Page 9

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/761,798 Page 10

Art Unit: 1791

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sing P. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272-1225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-11:00AM and 12:00PM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip C. Tucker can be reached on 571-272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chan Sing 6